





DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/100,649	09/21/1999	ANDREW J. SZABO	SZABO-201.1	3645
75	590 09/20/2002			
MILDE HOFFBERG & MACKLIN LLP COUNSELORS IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW SUITE 460 10 BANK STREET WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606			EXAMINER	
			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·,		2175	· -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/400,649	SZABO, ANDREW J.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Sam Rimell	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
S. Datest and Tondaments Office		Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2175				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments pertain to the appropriateness of the final rejection. These arguments have been reviewed but are not well taken. Applicant argues that since the amendment of 4/12/02 was denied entry, Applicant is entitled to a non-final examination of the claims. However, when applicant submitted the Request for Continued Examination on 7/15/02, applicant submitted a completely different amendment than the amendment of 4/12/02. The amendment submitted with the Request of 7/15/02 does not result in the same claim language as the amendment of 4/12/02, even with respect to claim 59, to which applicant's arguments are primarily addressed. Accordingly, Examiner does not have any logical or legal basis for concluding that the amendment of 7/15/02 was ever denied entry. Accordingly, the Examiner's Office action of 8/13/02 was made final, and the finality of this action is sustained.